IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 513 of 2002 (M/B)

Anil Taneja s/o Sh. R.L. Taneja,
R/o R-120, Sector-21
Noida, District Gautam Budh Nagar (U.P.)
And 101, Bajaj House,
97 Nehru Place, New Delhi. ……. Petitioners.

Versus

1. Nishi Nagpal D/o Tulsi Das Nagpal
   Nagpal General Store,
   Ghat Road, Rishikesh, Dehradun.

2. Principal Judge, Family Court, Dehradun ……. Respondents

Sri D. Barthwal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Sri Gopal Narain, Advocate for respondent No.1.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)

Sri D. Barthwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Sri Gopal Narain, Advocate for respondent No.1.

2. Sri Gopal Narain, the learned counsel for respondent No.1 submits that the writ petition now has become infructuous as the parties have reached to a compromise in the matter.

3. In this view of the matter, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.) 13.06.2006

(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.) 13.06.2006

A